

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,802	10/629,802 07/30/2003 Akira Nagashima		03500.015658.2	7925	
5514 75	5514 7590 02/15/2006			EXAMINER	
FITZPATRIC	K CELLA HARPER	SHAH, M.	SHAH, MANISH S		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TORK, I	NI 10112		2853		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ole	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/629,802	NAGASHIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Manish S. Shah	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	nuary 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
·-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 65-67,78-80,86 and 87 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 65-67,78-80,86 and 87 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 65 & 78 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Higuma et al. (# US 6137512). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 65-67, 78-80 & 86-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tochihara et al. (# US 5485188) in view of Bauer et al. (# US 6176908) and Higuma et al. (# US 613512).

Tochihara et al. discloses an ink jet recording apparatus (figure: 4) including a recording unit (figure: 6) and an ink cartridge (figure: 5), which comprises an ink container (element: 40, figure: 5) and a head portion for ejecting the ink (element: 71, figure: 6), the ink container containing ink including a first organic compound (column: 5, line: 40-58) and a second organic compound (nonionic surfactant) (column: 5, line: 1-10) and a liquid medium dissolving or dispersing the component (column: 5, line: 55-67). They also disclose that the head portion has a construction that thermal energy is applied to the ink to eject the ink (column: 8, line: 1-13); the ink container includes a

Art Unit: 2853

polyolefin (column: 7, line: 54-56) and the ink holding unit includes a porous material or polyurethane or polyolefin (column: 7, line: 54-56). They also disclose that the ink holding member has a multi layer structure, wherein the direction of the multi layer arrangement of the multi-layer structure is aligned in an ink discharging direction of the ink container (figure: 1). They also disclose that an Acid Red 52 (which fluorescent) may be used as an anionic dye present in the amount of 0.1 to 5% by weight in the magenta dye (column: 5, line: 17-23).

Tochihara et al. differ from the claim of the present invention in that (1) the ink containing at least one compounds exhibiting fluorescents properties and a coloring material exhibiting fluorescence properties. (2) The first and second organic compounds dissolved or dispersed in the liquid medium of the fluorescent ink cause liquid-liquid separation upon decrease in the amount of the liquid. (3) The ink-holding member is composed of fiber flocculate.

Bauer et al. teaches that to get the fluorescent image ink composition including a first (organic solvent) (column: 2, line: 47-67) and a second organic compound (surfactant) (column: 4, line: 1-10), which is incompitable with each other; at least one compound exhibiting fluorescence properties and a coloring material exhibiting fluorescence properties (column: 3, line: 1-22) and a liquid medium dissolving or dispersing the components therein (column: 3, line: 24-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition of Tochihara et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Bauer et al. in order to have a fluorescent and water fastness printed image.

In the position of the Examiner that when the same components, taught in Applicant's specification and in Tochihara et al. reference are used, the ink composition, will behave the same manner, so it is obvious that the feature of the first and second organic compounds dissolved or dispersed in the liquid medium of the fluorescent ink cause liquid-liquid separation upon decrease in the amount of the liquid is performed by Tochihara et al. ink composition.

Higuma et al. teaches that to improve the ink flowability, and reducing the amount of unusable amount of the ink within the ink container, the ink-holding member is composed of fiber flocculate (fiber strands) (element: 40a, 40b; figure: 9; element: 4a, 4b, figure: 8; element: 4, figure: 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the recording unit and the ink cartridge of Tochihara et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Higuma et al. in order to improve the ink flowability, and reducing the amount of unusable amount of the ink within the ink container.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 65 & 78 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/629,620 in view of Higuma et al. (# US 6137512).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the applications are directed to fluorescent ink composition. Instant application (802) claiming inkjet recording process, a recording unit, an ink cartridge and an inkjet recording apparatus. The only difference is preamble and the ink holding member is composed of fiber flocculate, all other limitation are same as ink composition of copending application (620). The preamble dose not limit the claimed invention.

However, the co-pending application did not claim of ink printing method but it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that to get the printed image use the ink jet printing method steps of pending application.

It was obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use the ink taught in the co-pending application in to the ink jet printing method of pending application to get the printed image with better color gamut.

Higuma et al. teaches that to improve the ink flowability, and reducing the amount of unusable amount of the ink within the ink container, the ink-holding member

Art Unit: 2853

is composed of fiber flocculate (fiber strands) (element: 40a, 40b; figure: 9; element: 4a, 4b, figure: 8; element: 4, figure: 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the recording unit and the ink cartridge of co-pending application by the aforementioned teaching of Higuma et al. in order to improve the ink flowability, and reducing the amount of unusable amount of the ink within the ink container.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,802

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853 Page 7

MSS

217106